Attendance of the April 19, 2006 GMTF Meeting

(based on sign-in sheet)

Name	Agency	
Adams, Hon. Steve	City of Riverside	
Amiri, Shahrzad	Metro	
Afsharian, Gita	Wilbur Smith Associates	
Baldwin, Hon. Harry	City of San Gabriel	
Bone, Hon. Lou	City of Tustin	
Bok, Susan	LADOT	
Boulke, Mike	Office of Supervisor Yvonne B. Burke	
Bower, Sgt. Nancy	California Highway Patrol	
Brown, Hon. Art	City of Buena Park	
Dale, Hon. Lawrence	City of Barstow	
Daniels, Hon. Gene	City of Paramount	
Farrington, Carl	South Coast Interfaith Council	
Flickinger, Hon. Bonnie	City of Moreno Valley	
Graham, Ryan	SANBAG	
Greenwald, Peter	SCAQMD	
Herrera, Hon. Carol	City of Diamond Bar	
Hicks, Gill	Gill Hicks and Associates	
Jimenez, Trini	BNSF	
Knox, Deadra	SCRRA	
Kumar, Vin	Caltrans District 7	
Logan, Angelo	East Yard Communities for EJ	
Lopez, Rosa	IVAG	
Marquez, Jesse	Coalition for a Safe Environment	
Martinez, Guillermo	Port of LA	
Maun-DeSantis, Lena	Port of LA	
Morales, Diane	Caltrans District 8	
Neely, Sharon	ACE Construction Authority	
Patic, Shashank	Port of Long Beach	
Pearson, Fred	Parsons Brinckerhoff	
Saunders, Christine	Port of LA	
Schoetzow, Eileen	LAWA	
Szerlip, Hon. Don	City of Redondo Beach	
Travis, Mary	VCTC	
Venieris, Marianne	CSULB/Metrans	
Wanda, Kathleen	Caltrans District 7	
Wiggins, Alice	Parsons Brinckerhoff	
Wood, Jerry	Gateway Cities COG	
Wyman Roh	Latham and Watkins	

Latham and Watkins

Wyman, Bob

C	\sim	\sim	C	taff
		4 (т	. `	гатт
\sim	\sim	\sim	\sim	ши

Nadler, Jonathan Pfeffer, Nancy Wong, Philbert

GOODS MOVEMENT TASK FORCE MEETING MINUTES WEDNESDAY, APRIL 19, 2006

1.0 CALL TO ORDER

Goods Movement Task Force Chair Art Brown, City of Buena Park, called the meeting to order. A list of those in attendance is included in the minutes. Self introductions were made.

2.0 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

There were no comments.

3.0 CONSENT CALENDAR

3.1 Approval Items

3.1.1 Approval of the March 15, 2006 Minutes

Motion to approve the March 15, 2006 Goods Movement Task Force minutes was seconded and accepted with two abstentions.

4.0 <u>INFORMATION ITEMS</u>

4.1 Maritime Goods Movement Coalition Proposal

Mr. Robert Wyman, Latham and Watkins, presented this item. His presentation can be found on the goods movement web page at: http://scag.ca.gov/goodsmove/pdf/MGMC_proposal.pdf

Mr. Wyman also noted that this proposal is included as Appendix G of the California Air Resources Board 'Proposed Emission Reduction Plan for Ports and Goods Movement' report.

The focus of this proposal is to develop a comprehensive strategy for mitigation of goods movement air quality impacts. Such a strategy would allow the State to integrate otherwise piecemeal activities, such as port leasing policies, CARB and SCAQMD rulemaking, and state legislation.

The proposal is to develop an integrated air quality plan that would be tied to established standards such as those for 8-hour ozone (2021-2024) and new fine PM (2015) standards, and would do so by applying market-based performance incentives to reduce emissions.

Hon. Don Szerlip, City of Redondo Beach, asked for Mr. Wyman's thoughts on establishing a low sulfur emission zone throughout the west coast. Mr. Wyman responded that this specific strategy is not currently included because the proposal is focused on funding mechanisms as a means to reduce emissions.

Mr. Angelo Logan, East Yard Communities for Environmental Justice, asked who the members of the Maritime Goods Movement Coalition are. Mr. Wyman responded that the members include fuel and energy providers such the Western States Petroleum Association, Southern California Edison, and the Port of Long Beach.

Mr. Jesse Marquez, Coalition for A Safe Environment, stated that the goods movement industry has never voluntarily agreed to reduce its emissions. Instead, Mr. Marquez noted that change has been forced via lawsuits, such as the lawsuits filed against the Port of Los Angeles. Mr. Wyman noted that market programs have been successful in changing these dynamics because there is an economic incentive for industry to meet the performance targets set for them.

Mr. Kerry Cartwright, Port of Long Beach, asked if the fees generated through this proposal would have to be used on environmental mitigation. Mr. Wyman responded that the fees would absolutely go to mitigation, and that the time lag between the fees being paid and being spent on mitigation must be minimized to the extent possible.

Ms. Sharon Neely, Alameda Corridor East Construction Authority, noted that goods movement related air emissions outside of the port area must be considered in this proposal as well.

Mr. Peter Greenwald, South Coast Air Quality Management District, asked about the point 'develop 10-20 year performance standards and timetable for program' on the Implementation Timeline slide and whether those standards would be set at the same level that a regulatory body would set them at. Mr. Wyman responded that this is a possibility, and that the intention of this proposal is not to weaken any regulatory standards.

Ms. Nancy Pfeffer, SCAG, asked which entities would be responsible for starting and carrying this proposal forward. Mr. Wyman responded that the easiest way to accomplish this would be through the California Air Resources Board, though other agencies such as the Ports and South Coast Air Quality Management District would have significant input as well.

4.2 I-605/SR-91 Needs Analysis

Mr. Jerry Wood, Gateway Cities COG, presented this item. This study analyzed the I-605 between the SR-60 south to the I-405 and the SR-91 from the Alameda Corridor east to the Los Angeles county line. The study was funded by the cities in the Gateway Cities subregion and completed in September 2005.

The study was designed to assess current conditions, conduct traffic projections for both passenger vehicles and trucks, and examine potential ITS improvements.

Based on the modeling analysis, truck trips are forecast to increase on the SR-91 and I-605 from about 20,000 truck trips per day to about 50,000 per day each, with about 50% of those being port trucks.

Mr. Cartwright asked what the next steps will be in this analysis. Mr. Wood responded that the next steps are to conduct a major corridor study on both freeways.

Ms. Neely asked if any air quality analysis was conducted as part of this study. Mr. Wood responded that while this report did not, air quality impacts must be addressed and because of this an air quality plan will be developed for the Gateway Cities subregion.

Mr. Marquez asked if alternative goods movement technologies were studied as part of this analysis. Mr. Wood responded that the goal of the study was not to analyze alternative technologies but to understand traffic growth based on current technologies and projections. However, a study on alternative technologies will be conducted in the future by Gateway Cities, SCAG, and other agencies.

Hon. Szerlip asked if PierPass was accounted for in this analysis. Mr. Cartwright responded that this analysis implicitly assumes 24-hour port gate operations. However, Mr. Wood indicated that the

projections shown in the study do not break out truck volume by time period.

4.3 Goods Movement Communications

Ms. Nancy Pfeffer, SCAG, presented this item. She described the 5 page 'Southern California Goods Movement Plan and Strategy' that SCAG recently produced. This document is based significantly on the 'Southern California Regional Strategy for Goods Movement: A Plan for Action' report that was released in February 2005 and previously reviewed by the task force. The 5 page document includes the same project list and map of needed additions to the goods movement system as described in the Regional Strategy for Goods Movement. Also distributed to the task force was a timeline that depicts the relationship between various goods movement efforts currently underway and actions that may need to be taken in the future, such as in the areas of legislation and funding and legal and institutional.

Ms. Sharon Neely, ACE Construction Authority, asked for further definition on Freight Investment Entities (FIEs) as described in the paper. Ms. Pfeffer noted that FIEs are currently a concept though could potentially be a form of public-private partnership.

Mr. Angelo Logan, East Yard Communities for Environmental Justice, asked when the task force would have an opportunity to comment on the document. Ms. Pfeffer responded that staff welcomes comments and that the task force is free to comment at any time. Mr. Logan also asked that this item be agendized as an action item at a future task force meeting.

Mr. Peter Greenwald, South Coast Air Quality Management District, noted that the 'Southern California Regional Goods Movement System: Potential Needs' list includes a new near dock intermodal facility, which may not yet have consensus and has not yet undergone an environmental review process.

5.0 STAFF REPORT

Ms. Pfeffer provided the staff report. She reported the following items:

 A handout on BNSF railway's 2006 capital investment program was provided to the Task Force.



- A flyer was provided on an upcoming Union Pacific/Air Resources Board community meeting on the emission reduction program for the UP rail yard at Lamar Street.
- Save the Date cards were provided for the 2007 Faster Freight Cleaner Air conference, which will be held on February 26-28, 2007 in Long Beach.

6.0 COMMENT PERIOD

Ms. Neely asked if SCAG would be testifying at the Air Resources Board 'Emission Reduction Plan for Goods Movement' hearing on April 20. Ms. Pfeffer noted that SCAG will not testify but will provide written comments.

Mr. Cartwright inquired on the status of the 'Environmental Mitigation Plan for Goods Movement' RFP. Ms. Pfeffer responded that this project should be starting in May.

Ms. Christine Saunders, Port of Los Angeles, provided copies of the Port's comments on Tech Memo 3 of the Multi-County Goods Movement Action Plan.

7.0 **NEXT MEETING**

The next regular GMTF meeting will be: Wednesday, May 17, 2006 9:00am-11:00am SCAG Offices, San Bernardino Conference Rooms A&B

8.0 ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 11 am.

